REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

AFRL-SR-BL-TR-02-

0707

Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comment regarding this burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188,) Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)

2. REPORT DATE

February 22, 2002

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT 01/15/98-11/30/01

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

"VISUAL PROCESSING OF OBJECT VELOCITY AND ACCELERATION"

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

G - #F49620 - 98 - 1 - 0197TA# - 2313/BS

6. AUTHOR(S)

Suzanne P. McKee, Ph.D.

20020401 015

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

The Smith-Kettlewell Eye Research Institute 2318 Fillmore Strees

San Francisco, CA 94115

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

CFDA #12.800

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

AFOSR/NL

801 N Randolph St. Room 732 Arlington, VA 22203-1977

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by the documentation.

12 a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12 b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

2 17.98 1.04 PM

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

This research analyzed the human ability to detect targets moving on predictable paths in the midst of temporally-changing noise. Results from experiments showed that observers easily detected a target (a single bright dot) moving in a consistent direction in the midst of considerable noise, even when this noise consisted of identical features (bright dots) in random motion. Presumably, this ability to detect trajectory motion enables pilots or other military personnel to find aircraft or missiles in the midst of jamming noise.

Observers improve their ability to detect motion trajectories with practice, so presumably they learn better strategies with experience. Thus, this task may be useful in training personnel who are required to detect or track targets in noise. Experimental analysis showed that observers use attention to increase the gain of the response to consistent motion, and to reduce the response to the surrounding noise. Fourteen papers were accepted for publication in refereed journals; another paper is in preparation.

14. SUBJECT TERMS Motion Prediction, Attention, Visual Search			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 4
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OR REPORT	CLASSIFICATION ON THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298 (Rev.2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

PROGRESS REPORT January 15,1998-November 30, 2001

Grant No. F49620-98-1-0197

"VISUAL PROCESSING OF OBJECT VELOCITY AND ACCELERATION"

Principal Investigator: Suzanne P. McKee, Ph.D.

Institution: The Smith-Kettlewell Eye Research Institute 2318 Fillmore Street San Francisco, CA 94115

TECHNICAL SUMMARY OF WORK ACCOMPLISHED

Predicting the future course of a moving target is obviously invaluable for planning actions, particularly under the demanding conditions of flight. The human visual system takes 100 - 300 msec to process information about moving features, but despite the delay associated with visual processing, observers can readily anticipate the future trajectory of moving features and adjust their motor behavior to compensate for the delay time. Observers make these predictions automatically, without being aware of their "on-line" calculations. Indeed, there is considerable evidence that this predictive capacity is actually a part of early visual processing, and occurs without the symbolic reasoning associated with higher brain functioning. Why is this predictive capacity important? Human observers may be required to detect and respond rapidly to a potentially dangerous moving target that appears suddenly amidst a noisy environment filled with other moving objects.

We designed a special experimental paradigm to study this predictive capability. In a well-controlled experimental setting, we found that observers easily detected a target (a single bright dot) moving in a consistent direction in the midst of considerable noise, even when this noise consisted of identical features (bright dots) in random motion. Presumably, this ability to detect trajectory motion enables pilots or other military personnel to find aircraft or missiles in the midst of jamming noise. We have found that observers improve their ability to detect motion trajectories with practice, so presumably they learn better strategies with experience. Thus, this task may be useful in training personnel who are required to detect or track targets in noise.

Our major objective has been to identify the physiological basis of this trajectory tracking ability, and to model it quantitatively so that similar computational strategies could be utilized in machine vision. In the last two decades, there have been numerous models and algorithms showing how a visual system could predict future motion. Most of these models have proposed a special architecture dedicated to this sole purpose (forward facilitation, self-organizing neural networks, etc.). For human vision, these special formulations are unnecessary. Our latest results show that the human visual system is using a very simple, but general, operation to solve this problem. Basically, any brief consistent motion, i.e., a 100 msec straight motion segment, produces a local neural response that is larger than the responses generated by the surroundings, even in our dynamic noise conditions. The neural response to the brief segment acts a pointer, which directs "attention" to the most likely site of subsequent motion segments. Recent psychophysical and physiological studies of attention have recently shown that it can either increase the gain of neurons at the cued site, or narrow the range and number of detectors that are being monitored (narrow the bandwidth), or some mixture of both processes. In the case of motion trajectories, this 'cueing' effect is an automatic process that occurs wherever there are strong local motion signals.

To obtain rigorous psychophysical evidence of gain and bandwidth changes, we measured contrast increments for motion segments at the beginning of the trajectory (first 70 ms) and at the end of the trajectory (last 70 ms). For a trajectory presented in isolation without noise, there was no difference between the contrast increments at the beginning and end of the trajectory. However, when the trajectory was presented in the midst of motion noise, contrast increments were far more detectable at the end of the trajectory than at the beginning. Using a signal detection model, Dr. Preeti Verghese showed quantitatively that the major effect of the early cueing was to reduce uncertainty; the observer was narrowing the type and number of detectors being monitored to be consistent with the predicted future direction. In neural terms, the motion detector which is driven optimally by the early segment of the trajectory probably suppresses detectors that are tuned to different directions and locations, thereby narrowing the effective bandwidth of the detector array that responds to later segments of the trajectory. We also showed that nonmotion cues could enhance trajectory detection. For example, we flashed four static dots lying in a row on the path of a subsequently presented brief (100 ms) trajectory) in motion noise. The brief trajectory was much more easily detected with the static cue than without it. However, a single bright dot flashed 50ms before the trajectory had little effect.

Burgi, Yuille and Grzywacz showed that a computer algorithm could also find dot moving on a straight trajectory amidst motion noise. Basically, their algorithm assessed the average statistics of the noise, and then searched for moving points that were outliers relative to the noise distribution. Because our noise dots were moving in Brownian motion (randomly chosen direction on each frame), the probability that any given noise dot would move in exactly the same direction for three sequential frames was very small. Therefore, in three frames, the algorithm could find the trajectory dot, because it moved in the same direction on all three frames. Of course, the computer was given the data about There was no the locations and directions of every dot in the display. requirement that the computer measure the pixels to obtain this information. But the human observer has this limitation. Undoubtedly the human visual system measures direction and location, using motion detectors that coarsely sample space and time. To make precise measurements of the directions of the noise dots, these neural motion detectors must integrate the signal for 70 -100 msec (5-8 frames). It is very likely that any real detector, whether composed of neurons or silicon, would need some time to assess the statistical distribution of the noise directions. But, after the motion measurements are made, the human visual system uses an outlier approach that is similar to the computational algorithm. It estimates the average response level generated by whole stimulus display, and then identifies local deviations from this response level. These local deviations alert the visual system to points of interest. The largest local deviation is caused by the first 70 – 100 msec of the trajectory dot motion. In regions near the large directionally-selective response generated by the trajectory, the motion system increases the gain of motion detectors tuned to similar directions and suppresses signals from motion detectors at other locations. These physiological operations are used by the human visual system to 'predict' the likely direction of motion by enhancing some responses and diminishing others – a kind of physiological Kalman filter.

Publications

- Watamaniuk, S.N.J. & McKee, S.P. (1998), Simultaneous encoding of direction at a local and global scale. *Perception & Psychophysics*, **60**, 191-200.
- Pettet, M.W., McKee, S.P., & Grzywacz, N.M. (1998) Constraints on long range interactions mediating contour detection. *Vision Research*, **38**, 263-274...
- McKee, S.P. & Smallman, H.S. (1998), Size and Speed Constancy in *Perceptual Constancies: Why things look as they do.* Walsh, V. and Kulikowski, J.J. (Eds.) Cambridge University Press, New York, 373-408.
- Harris, J.M., McKee, S.P. & Watamaniuk, S.N.J. (1998) Visual search for motion-in-depth: stereomotion does not 'pop out' from disparity noise. *Nature Neuroscience*, 1, 165-168.
- McKee, S.P. & Harris, J.M. (1998) Combining stereopsis and motion in human vision. Society for Information Display: International Symposium Digest of Technical Papers, 24, pp.1029-1032
- Verghese, P., Watamaniuk, S.N.J., McKee, S.P., & Grzywacz, N.M. (1999) Local motion detectors cannot account for the detectability of an extended trajectory in noise. *Vision Research*, 39, 19-30.
- Yuille, A.L. & Coughlan, J.M. (1999) Order Parameters and Fundamental Bounds for Visual Search. In *Proceedings 33rd Annual conference on Information Sciences and Systems* CISS '99 March 17-19. The John Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.
- Konishi, S., Yuille, A.L., Coughlan, J., & Zhu, S.C. (1999) Fundamental bounds on edge detection: An information theoretic evaluation of different edge cues. *Proceedings Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition CVPR* '99 Fort Collins, Colorado
- Ascher, D. & Grzywacz, N.M. (2000) A Bayesian model of temporal frequency masking. *Vision Research*, **40**, 2219-2232.
- Ascher D, & Grzywacz N. M. (2000) A Bayesian model for the measurement of visual velocity. *Vision Res.*;40, 3427-34.
- Verghese, P., McKee, S.P., & Grzywacz, N. M. (2000) Stimulus configuration determines the detectability of motion signals in noise. *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, 2341-2350.
- Morgan, M.J., Watamaniuk, S.N.J. & McKee, S.P.(2000) The use of an implicit standard in psychophysical measurements. *Vision Research*, 2341-2350.
- Burgi, P-Y. Yuille, A.L. & Grzywacz, N.M. (2000) Probabilistic motion estimation based on temporal coherence. *Neural Computation*,12,1839-1867.

Yuille, A.L., Coughlan, J., Wu, Y-N & Zhu, S.C. (2002) Order parameters for minimax entropy distributions: When does high level knowledge help? *International Journal of computer vision*. In Press.

Verghese, P. & McKee, S.P. (2002) Alerting the motion system. Submitted to Journal of

Vision.

Abstracts:

- McKee, S.P., Verghese, P., & Yuille, A.L (1998) Trajectory facilitation is not mediated by contrast changes. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 39, S 228.
- Verghese, P. & McKee, S.P. (1998) Motion grouping impairs speed discrimination. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 39, S 228.
- McKee, S.P. & Verghese, P. (1998) Alerting the motion system. Perception, 27, S36.
- Verghese, P. & McKee, S. (1999) Searching for patterns in noise. Twenty-second European Conference on Visual Perception (ECVP). *Perception*, 28, 55. Supplement.
- Verghese, P. & McKee, S.P. (2000) Early visual processing explains search accuracy. Cognitive Neuroscience Society Annual Meeting, p.97. Supplement.
- Verghese P & McKee SP (2000). Reduced uncertainty accounts for the enhanced sensitivity to motion trajectories. *Society for Neuroscience*, 2000, at New Orleans, LA.
- Verghese P & McKee SP (2001). Predicting future motion. Association of Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) Abstract #3952 in Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, S737.
- Verghese P & McKee SP (2001). Attention accounts for trajectory detectability. *Vision Sciences Society* 1st Annual Meeting, Florida, May 4-8, 2001.

Personnel Supported and/or Associated with Project:

Suzanne P. McKee, Ph.D. Principal Investigator

Preeti Verghese, Ph.D., Co-investigator

Norberto M Grzywacz, Ph.D. Co-investigator

Alan L. Yuille, Ph.D., Co-investigator

Douglas G. Taylor, B.S., Programmer-Engineer